## Mark scheme - Alkanes

Question		n	Answer/Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
					Dots <b>NOT</b> required for initiation <b>IGNORE</b> temperature <b>OR</b> pressure
1		i	Initiation $Cl_2 \rightarrow 2Cl \cdot AND UV \checkmark$ Propagation $C_4H_{10} + Cl \cdot \rightarrow C_4H_{9} \cdot + HCl \checkmark$ $C_4H_{9} \cdot + Cl_2 \rightarrow C_4H_9Cl + Cl \cdot \checkmark$	3 (AO1.1) (AO2.5) (AO2.5)	Dots <b>required</b> in each propagation equation <b>ALLOW</b> 1 mark for <b>BOTH</b> propagation equations with any dots missing or extra dots e.g. $C_4H_{10} + CI \rightarrow C_4H_9 + HCI$ $C_4H_9 \cdot + Cl_2 \cdot \rightarrow C_4H_9CI + CI$ <b>DO NOT ALLOW</b> charges <b>Examiner's Comments</b> A minority of Many candidates scored all 3 marks for this part, showing that most had thoroughly learnt the mechanism for radical substitution. The equation and conditions for the initiation step were well-known but the equations for the propagation steps often included errors. It was common for dots to be omitted for some radicals and C4H <sub>9</sub> Cl-, rather than C4H <sub>9</sub> ·, was often shown for one of the products in the first propagation stage. H· was then shown as the other product.
		ii	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> + 10 Cl <sub>2</sub> → C <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> + 10 HCl $\checkmark$	1 (AO2.6)	ALLOW structural formulae, e.g. $CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_3 + 10Cl_2$ $\rightarrow CCl_3CCl_2CCl_2CCl_3 + 10HCl$ Examiner's Comments Only the highest attaining candidates were able to write the correct equation. Although most candidates did identify the organic product as C <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> , the other product was usually seen as 5H <sub>2</sub> rather than 10HCl.
		iii	$n(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{78.0}{32500} = 2.4(0) \times 10^{-3} \text{ (mol) }\checkmark$ $M(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{0.636}{2.4(0) \times 10^{-3}} \text{ OR } 265 \checkmark$ Molecular formula = C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>6</sub> $\checkmark$	3 (AO3.1×2) (AO3.2)	ALLOW ECF from incorrect <i>n</i> (E) ALLOW ECF from incorrect <i>M</i> (E) from <i>n</i> (E)

				COMMON ERROR $n(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{78.0}{24000} = 3.25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (mol)} \times$ $M(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{0.636}{3.25 \times 10^{-3}} = 195.69 \text{ OR } 196 \checkmark$ (3SF or more) Molecular formula = C <sup>4</sup> H <sup>6</sup> Cl <sup>4</sup> $\checkmark$
				<ul> <li>ALLOW ECF for molecular formula but must be derived from a calculated value for <i>M</i>(E)</li> <li>Examiner's Comments</li> <li>A minority of This question discriminated very well. It was encouraging to see the number of candidates who used 32.5 dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> as the molar gas volume under the experimental conditions to obtain 2.40 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol of gas. Many though used 24.0 dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> for RTP and obtained 3.25 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol. Error carried forward allowed such candidates to still secure the final 2 of the 3 marks available.</li> <li>Lower attaining candidates were unsure where to start and tried to do anything with the number provided. The result was often n unusable number</li> </ul>
		Total	7	
2	а	Structural isomers:       1 mark         Different structural formulae         AND same molecular formula √         Common molecular formula:       1 mark         C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub> for all 3 hydrocarbons √	5	For 'structural': ALLOW different structure OR different displayed/ skeletal formula DO NOT ALLOW any reference to spatial/space/3D Same formula is not sufficient (no 'molecular') Different arrangement of atoms is not sufficient (no 'structure'/'structural') ALLOW 5 carbons and 12 hydrogens ALLOW for 2 marks: Different structural formulae AND same molecular formula √ of C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub> √

					<b>Comparisons</b> needed throughout <b>ORA</b> throughout
					ALLOW comparison between any alcohols, e.g. A is least branched and has highest b pt
			Boiling point and branching:		C is most branched and has lowest b pt
			1 mark		IGNORE van der Waals'/vdw forces ALLOW SA for surface area
			Boiling point decreases with		ALLOW 'harder to overcome intermolecular
			more branching		forces ALLOW more energy to separate the
			<b>OR</b> more methyl/alkyl groups/side chains		
			<b>OR</b> shorter carbon chain √		intermolecular/London forces required
			Branching and London forces: 1 mark		Examiner's Comments
			<i>Could be seen anywhere within response</i> More branching gives less (surface) contact		This question discriminated well and resulted in a full range of marks. Most candidates were aware that structural
			AND		isomers have different structural formulae
			fewer/weaker London forces √		but the same molecular formulae. It was common though for candidates to refer to different arrangements of atoms in space, clearly confusing with stereoisomerism. The
			Energy and intermolecular 1 mark forces:		the question) to show that the common molecular formula was C5H12. Candidates were expected to link the amount of surface contact between molecules with induced
			Less energy to break London forces/ intermolecular forces/intermolecular bonds/ √		dipole–dipole forces or London forces. 'Contact' or the name of the intermolecular forces was often omitted. Finally, candidates were expected to link the amount of branching to the strength of the intermolecular forces and the energy.
					needed to change state. Lower ability candidates often let themselves down by being unable to construct a well-reasoned response. There was often a gulf between the clear responses of able candidates and those of lower ability candidates.
					ALLOW Free radical substitution
	b	i	Radical substitution √	1	Examiner's Comments
					Most candidates identified this reaction as radical substitution.

	ii	A B 3√ 4√	2	<b>Examiner's Comments</b> Most candidates achieved at least one mark, particularly for isomer <b>A</b> . Successful candidates often drew structures of the isomers alongside the table to help with their response.
		Structure of D		ALLOW correct structural OR displayed OR skeletal formula OR mixture of the above (as long as unambiguous) IGNORE molecular formula
	III	Structure of a trichloro isomer of <b>A</b> , e.g. $\overrightarrow{\downarrow} \qquad \qquad$	2	e.g. $2C_5H_{12} + 6Cl_2 \rightarrow 2C_5H_9Cl_3 + 6HCl$ <b>Examiner's Comments</b> Many candidates correctly drew the structure of compound D but comparatively few were able to construct a correct equation. For this equation, candidates needed to apply their knowledge and understanding of monosubstitution of alkanes to substitution of three H atoms by three Cl atoms. This task proved to be one of the most difficult questions on this paper. The exemplar shows an excellent response. The candidate has drawn a trisubstituted structure that fits the molar mass of 175.5 g mol <sup>-1</sup> and a correct equation for its formation. Many attempts at this equation showed H2 as the second product rather than HCl. <b>Exemplar 6</b> [11] The maction of compound A with access chloring forms a compound b, which has a correct of the molecular formias in the equation.
				Equation $C_{s}H_{1/2} C_{s}^{s} + 3Cl_{2} C_{s}^{s} + Cl_{s}^{s} + Cl_{s}^{s}$

			Total	10	
3	i	i	Ultraviolet (radiation)/UV <b>√</b>	1	ALLOW sunlight IGNORE temperature Examiner's Comments Most candidates scored this mark.
	ii	ii	CH₃CH2COOH + Cl2 → CH3CHCICOOH + HCI ✓	1	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{ALLOW} \ C_2H_5COOH + Cl_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4CICOOH \\ + \ HCl \\ \textbf{ALLOW} \ C_3H_6O_2 + Cl_2 \rightarrow C_3H_5CIO_2 + HCl \\ \hline \textbf{Examiner's Comments} \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Many candidates could write the overall} \\ \textbf{equation but there was some confusion with} \\ \textbf{propagation steps and some equations} \\ \textbf{contained radicals or missed out } HCl \textbf{ as a} \\ \textbf{product.} \end{array}$
	ii	111	one electron from the bond (pair) goes to each atom / chlorine/radical √	1	ALLOW the breaking of a covalent bond where each atom keeps one of the bonding electrons IGNORE particle for atom ALLOW one electron from the bond goes to each product / species DO NOT ALLOW molecule or compound for atom IGNORE homolytic fission equations Examiner's Comments Homolytic fission is described in the specification in terms of each bonding atom receiving one electron from the bonded pair forming two radicals. A large proportion of candidates failed to match the essential points in this definition.
	ţ	iv	Propagation step 1 $CI \cdot + CH_3CH_2COOH \rightarrow CH_3CHCOOH \cdot + HCI \checkmark$ Propagation step 2 $CH_3CHCOOH \cdot + CI_2 \rightarrow CH_3CHCICOOH + CI \cdot \checkmark$	2	ALLOW1. $Cl \cdot + C_3H_6O_2 \rightarrow C_3H_5O_2 \cdot + HCl$ 2. $C_3H_5O_2 \cdot + Cl_2 \rightarrow C_3H_5ClO_2 + Cl \cdot$ ALLOW dot at any position on the radicalALLOW 1 mark if both equations correct but any dots omitted from radicalsExaminer's CommentsGenerally well answered. Candidates took note of the instruction in the question and it was very rare to see radicals without their unpaired electron.

					Dot shown in correct position
		v		1	ALLOW –OH Examiner's Comments Unfortunately, candidates who were not able to attempt equations for the propagation steps in part (iv) were then unable to suggest the structure of the radical formed in the first step. Many candidates did not present a fully displayed formula. However, formulae showing –OH were given credit in this question.
					ALLOW correct structural OR skeletal OR displayed formula OR mixture of the above
		vi	Any structure with two or more CI atoms on alkyl chain (provided that one CI is at C–2) H H CI G H CI O H CI O H CI O H CI O H H H CI O H H H H CI O H H H H H H H H H H	1	<b>DO NOT ALLOW</b> C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> <b>ALLOW</b> further substitution into any or all of the 4 positions occupied by H atoms in the alkyl group, provided that at least one Cl is at C -2
					Examiner's Comment:
					Generally well answered but it was clear from some of the structures drawn that some candidates did not understand what is meant by further substitution.
			Total	7	
					ANNOTATE ANSWER WITH TICKS AND CROSSES
					<b>ALLOW</b> reference to specific compounds e.g. comparing methane and methanol
4			Alcohols have hydrogen bonds (and van der Waals' forces) √	2	Second marking point requires <b>BOTH</b> types of intermolecular forces in response i.e comparison of hydrogen bonds <b>AND</b> van der Waals is <b>essential</b>
			Hydrogen bonds are stronger than van der Waals' forces (in alkanes) √		<b>DO NOT ALLOW</b> the second mark for a comparison of van der Waals' and hydrogen bonds between alcohols and water
					<b>ALLOW</b> more energy required to break hydrogen bonds than van der Waals' forces

				ALLOW it is harder to overcome the hydrogen bonds than van der Waals' forces IGNORE more energy is needed to break bonds Examiner's Comments Many candidates attributed the difference in boiling point between alkanes and alcohols to the relative strength of hydrogen bonds compared with van der Waals' forces. Weaker responses simply identified alcohols as being able to form hydrogen bonds, but failed to compare these with van der Waals' forces.
		Total	2	
5	i	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub> + 12½O <sub>2</sub> → 8CO <sub>2</sub> + 9H <sub>2</sub> O √	1	ALLOW multiples e.g. $2C_8H_{18} + 25O_2 \rightarrow 16CO_2 + 18H_2O$ IGNORE state symbols Examiner's Comments Almost all candidates could provide a correctly balanced equation for the complete combustion of octane.
	ii	$(n(C_8H_{18}) \text{ burned}) = 0.32 \text{ (mol) } \checkmark$ $(n(CO_2) \text{ from complete combustion}) = 2.56$ or 2.6 mol OR $(ratio \ nCO_2 / \ nC_8H_{18}) = 7.8(125)$ OR $(n \ C_8H_{18} \text{ produce } 2.5 \text{ mol } CO_2) = 0.31(25)$ $\checkmark$	2	DO NOT ALLOW ECF from an incorrect moles of octane DO NOT ALLOW ECF from incorrect ratio from equation in (i) ALLOW the following alternate methods Method 1 (mass CO <sub>2</sub> produced) = 110 g $\checkmark$ (mass CO <sub>2</sub> from complete combustion) = 8 × 0.32 × 44 = 112.64 or 112.6 or 113 g $\checkmark$ Method 2 ( <i>n</i> C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub> to produce 2.5 mol CO <sub>2</sub> ) = 0.31(25) $\checkmark$

					(mass of octane required to produce 2.50 mol $CO_2$ ) = 35.6 <b>OR</b> 35.63 <b>OR</b> 35.625 g $\checkmark$ <b>Examiner's Comments</b> Candidates coped well with this unfamiliar question. Almost all candidates recognised the need to calculate the number of moles of octane combusted and received the first mark. The majority of candidates were able to process this to show that 2.56 moles of carbon dioxide should have been produced. It was encouraging to see a range of alternative approaches adopted by candidates. For example, some used the calculated moles of octane and the amount of $CO_2$ given in the question to show that the reacting ratio was less than 8. The mark scheme allowed full marks for all valid responses.
			Total	3	
6	а	i	(series of compounds with the) same functional group OR same / similar chemical properties OR same / similar chemical reactions ✓ each successive/subsequent member differing by CH <sub>2</sub> ✓	2	IGNORE references to physical properties IGNORE has same general formula (in question) DO NOT ALLOW have the same empirical formula OR have the same molecular formula Examiner's Comments Many candidates were able to score both marks by specifying the same functional group and that each successive member varies by a CH <sub>2</sub> group. Some responses were imprecise and referred to just members differing by CH <sub>2</sub> group.
		ii	CnH2n ✔	1	<b>Examiner's Comments</b> Most candidates were able to state the general formula for the cycloalkanes.
		iii	More carbons (in ring) OR more (surface area of) contact AND	2	Both answers need to be comparisonsALLOW ORA throughoutALLOW has more electronsOR larger (carbon) ringOR higher molecular massIGNORE bigger moleculeIGNORE chain instead of ringDO NOT ALLOW 'more contact between

					atoms'
			more van der Waals forces OR stronger van der Waals forces √		<b>ALLOW</b> 'VDW' for van der Waals 'More intermolecular forces' is <b>not</b> sufficient
			More energy needed to break the intermolecular forces ✓		ALLOW it is harder to overcome the intermolecular forces ALLOW intermolecular bonds / van der Waals bonds ALLOW more energy is needed to separate molecules IGNORE more energy is needed to break bonds
					Examiner's Comments
					This was a well answered question and many candidates could relate the difference in boiling point to the increase in points of contact and stronger van derWaals' forces. A significant number of candidates referred to the breaking of bonds rather than intermolecular forces.
					Mark each point independently
			tetrahedral <b>√</b>		IGNORE surrounded by four atoms IGNORE four areas of electron charge repel IGNORE four electron pairs repel ( <i>one could</i> <i>be lp</i> ) DO NOT ALLOW atoms repel
	b		four <b>bonding</b> pairs repel <b>OR</b> four <b>bonds</b>	2	Examiner's Comments
			repel √		Most candidates were able to state the shape required. Explanations for the bond angle often focused on the four bond pairs around the carbon atom, however candidates did not always refer to repulsion between these electron pairs.
			 	1	IGNORE state symbols
			StepEquationInitiation (1 mark) $Br_2 \rightarrow 2Br \bullet \checkmark$ CeH12 + Br• $\rightarrow$ CeH11• + HBr $\checkmark$		IGNORE dots If an incorrect hydrocarbon with <b>six</b> C atoms
	с	i	Propagation $C_6H_{11^\bullet} + Br_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{11}Br + Br_{\bullet} \checkmark$	5	DO NOT ALLOW any marks for the propagation steps but
			$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		ALLOW ECF for termination steps (i.e. 3 max) Examiner's Comments
			$Br^\bullet + Br^\bullet \to Br_2$		

		Two correct ✓ All three correct ✓✓		This question required candidates to apply their knowledge of the radical substitution mechanism and those who had prepared well scored full marks. A common misconception was to have hydrogen radicals being formed and reacted in propagation and termination steps.
	ii	The breaking of a (Br-Br) bond <b>AND</b> forms (two) radicals <b>OR</b> the breaking of a (Br-Br) bond <b>AND</b> one electron (from the bond pair) goes to each atom / bromine √	1	ALLOW 'the breaking of a covalent bond' ALLOW the splitting of the bond in bromine ALLOW the breaking of a covalent bond where each atom keeps one of the bonding electrons IGNORE particle for atom ALLOW one electron goes to each product / species DO NOT ALLOW molecule or compound for atom IGNORE homolytic fission equations Examiner's Comments This question was better attempted than in previous sessions. Although many candidates were able to identify that radicals were formed, a significant number did not refer to the breaking of the covalent bond in Br <sub>2</sub> .
d	i	$C_6H_{12}$ + 2Br <sub>2</sub> → $C_6H_{10}Br_2$ + 2HBr ✓	1	ALLOW molecular formula only. Examiner's Comments This question proved quite difficult for the vast majority of candidates who failed to apply their knowledge of radical substitution to an unfamiliar example. The most common incorrect answer was $C_6H_{12} + Br_2 \rightarrow$ $C_6H_{10}Br_2 + H_2$ .
	ii	1,1-dibromocyclohexane OR 1,2-dibromocyclohexane OR 1,3-dibromocyclohexane OR 1,4-dibromocyclohexane √	1	Locant numbers <b>MUST</b> lowest possible e.g. <b>DO NOT ALLOW</b> 2,4-dibromocyclohexane etc. <b>IGNORE</b> structures <b>Examiner's Comments</b> Candidates were required to name one of the dibromocyclohexane compounds that could be formed from cyclohexane and the more able candidates were able to apply their understanding of nomenclature successfully. Common incorrect responses included straight chain dibromo compounds e.g. 1,2—dibromohexane and incorrect use

				of locant numbers e.g. 2,3— dribromocyclohexane.
		Total	15	
7	i	any mono or multiple substituted chlorohexane – e.g.	1	
	ii	(because) substitution can replace any H atom / multiple substitution <i>owtte</i> (1)	1	<b>ignore</b> vague statements about free radical reactions being random <b>allow</b> termination can join alkyl radicals to form larger hydrocarbons <i>owtte</i>
		Total	2	
8	i	CO is toxic	1	<b>allow</b> responses linked to effect of CO in blood
	ii	Calculation: $n(butane) = 600/58.0 = 10.34 (mol)$ AND $n(O_2)$ required = $6.5 \times 10.34 = 67.2$ $(mol)$ (1) $n(O_2)$ consumed = $1.50 \times 10^3 / 24.0 = 62.5$ $(mol)$ OR         volume $O_2$ required for complete         combustion = $67.2 \times 24.0/1000 = 1.61 \text{ m}^3$ (1)         Conclusion:         incomplete combustion / stove not safe to         use         AND $62.5 < 67.2 \text{ OR } 1.61 > 1.50 (1)$	3	using 1 : 6.5 ratio <b>allow</b> number rounding to 67
		Total	4	
9	i	Overlap of orbitals directly between the bonding atoms	1	allow a correct diagram
	ii	120° <b>AND</b> trigonal planar	1	allow planar triangle
		Total	2	
10		Initiation $C_{l_2} \rightarrow 2C_{l_2}$ (1) Propagation $C_2H_6 + C_{l_2} \rightarrow C_2H_{5^{-}} + HC_{l_2}$ (1) $C_2H_{5^{-}} + C_{l_2} \rightarrow C_2H_5C_{l_2} + C_{l_2}$ (1) Termination $C_{l_2} + C_{l_2} \rightarrow C_{l_2}$	5	If the structure of the ethyl radical is drawn, the lone electron must be attached to a C atom

	OR $C_2H_5$ + $C/ \rightarrow C_2H_5C/$ OR $C_2H_5$ + $C_2H_5$ $\rightarrow C_4H_{10}$ (1) Initiation, propagation, termination used in correct context (1)		
	Total	5	